

Os textos são da exclusiva responsabilidade dos autores  
*All texts are of the exclusive responsibility of the authors*

## **A LATENT PROFILE ANALYSIS AND STRUCTURAL EQUATION MODELLING OF PARANORMAL BELIEF, PSYCHOPATHOLOGICAL SYMPTOMS, AND WELL-BEING**

Andrew Denovan & Neil Dagnall

Manchester Metropolitan University, United Kingdom

### **Grant 123/20**

**Background:** Research investigating links between paranormal belief, psychopathology, and reduced well-being has produced inconsistent findings. The most reliable outcome being an association between paranormal belief and psychosis-proneness. Accordingly, this project used a range of analytical techniques to further explore links between paranormal belief and reduced well-being.

**Aims:** To identify profiles combining paranormal belief and psychopathology (Phase 1). Additionally, to develop and test models examining how emergent profiles relate to well-being over a period of six months (Phase 2).

**Method:** The two project phases included cross-sectional and longitudinal methods. These surveyed participants via online self-report measures. Alongside the Revised Paranormal Belief Scale, participants completed a range of cognitive-perceptual, psychopathology-related, and well-being measures (e.g., Perceived Stress, Life Satisfaction, Manic-Depressiveness, Schizotypy, Transliminality).

**Results:** Statistical procedures included latent profile analysis (LPA), MANOVA, and longitudinal mediation. Phase 1 LPA identified subgroups of high, moderate, and low combined Paranormal Belief and psychopathology (Schizotypy, Depression, Manic-Depressive Experience) scores. MANOVA revealed that subgroups with higher psychopathology scores (not necessarily higher Paranormal Belief) reported significantly lower wellbeing (Perceived Stress, Somatic Complaints, and Life Satisfaction; all  $p < .001$ ). Phase 2 LPA identified consistent subgroups to Phase 1. Path analysis over six months demonstrated that the profile highest in psychopathology (not Paranormal Belief) predicted significantly lower well-being (higher Perceived Stress,  $\beta = .34$ , and Somatic Complaints,  $\beta = .26$ ). Transliminality and Fearful Attitude positively mediated this relationship, and Sceptical Attitude produced negative mediation. The path model revealed good fit,  $\chi^2(1) = 8.10$ ,  $p = .004$ , CFI = .99, SRMR = .01, RMSEA = .06 (95%CI of .03-.09).

**Conclusions:** A consistent observation across a range of methodological approaches was that paranormal belief, in the absence of cognitive-perceptual and psychopathology-related factors, had no significant relationship with well-being. Rather, a sophisticated process underpinned this, inferring that paranormal belief does not necessarily influence lower psychological adjustment and reduced well-being. Rather, attendant constructs (e.g., transliminality, psychopathology) facilitate this.

**Keywords:** Paranormal belief, Psychopathology, Well-being, Psychological adjustment, Longitudinal

**Publications:**

- Dagnall, N., Denovan, A., & Drinkwater, K. G. (2023). Longitudinal assessment of the temporal stability and predictive validity of the Revised Paranormal Belief Scale. *Frontiers in Psychology*, 13, 1094701. <https://doi.org/10.3389/fpsyg.2022.1094701>
- Dagnall, N., Denovan, A., & Drinkwater, K. G. (2022). Paranormal belief, cognitive-perceptual factors, and well-being: A network analysis. *Frontiers in Psychology*, 13, 967823. <https://doi.org/10.3389/fpsyg.2022.967823>
- Dagnall, N., Denovan, A., Drinkwater, K. G., & Escolà-Gascón, Á. (2022). Paranormal belief and well-being: The moderating roles of transliminality and psychopathology-related facets. *Frontiers in Psychology*, 13, 915860. <https://doi.org/10.3389/fpsyg.2022.915860>
- Drinkwater, K. G., Denovan, A., & Dagnall, N. (*under review*). A latent profile analysis and longitudinal assessment of the relationship between paranormal belief, psychopathological symptoms, and well-being. *PLOS One*.
- Drinkwater, K. G., Denovan, A., & Dagnall, N. (*in press*). Paranormal belief and perceived stress: A re-evaluation using the two factor RPBS model and statistical modelling. *PLOS One*. <https://doi.org/10.1371/journal.pone.0297403>

**E-mail contact:** [a.denovan@mmu.ac.uk](mailto:a.denovan@mmu.ac.uk)